Novel Heterocyclic Trans Olefin Analogues of N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]butyl}arylcarboxamides as Selective Probes with High Affinity for the Dopamine D3 Receptor

Peter Grundt,[†] Erin E. Carlson,[†] Jianjing Cao,[†] Christina J. Bennett,[†] Elizabeth McElveen,[‡] Michelle Taylor,[‡] Robert R. Luedtke,[‡] and Amy Hauck Newman^{*,†}

Medicinal Chemistry Section, National Institute on Drug Abuse–Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, 5500 Nathan Shock Drive, Baltimore, Maryland 21224, and Department of Pharmacology and Neuroscience, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, Texas 76107

Received July 5, 2004

Dopamine D3 receptor subtypes have been hypothesized to play a pivotal role in modulating the reinforcing and drug-seeking effects induced by cocaine. However, definitive pharmacological investigations have been hampered by the lack of highly D3 receptor selective compounds that can be used in vivo. To address this problem, the potent and D3-receptor-selective antagonist NGB 2904 (1, 9H-fluorene-2-carboxylic acid {4-[(2,3-dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-butyl}amide, K_i (hD3) = 2.0 nM, K_i (hD2_L) = 112 nM, D2/D3 selectivity ratio of 56) was chosen as a lead structure for chemical modification in an attempt to reduce its high lipophilicity (c log D = 6.94) while optimizing D3 receptor binding affinity and D2/D3 selectivity. A series of >30 novel analogues were synthesized, and their binding affinities were evaluated in competition binding assays in HEK 293 cells transfected with either D2_L, D3, or D4 human dopamine receptors using the high affinity, selective D2-like receptor antagonist ¹²⁵I-IABN. Structural diversity in the aryl amide end of the molecule was found to have a major influence on (sub)nanomolar D3 receptor affinity and D2/D3 selectivity, which was optimized using a more rigid *trans*-butenyl linker between the aryl amide and the piperazine. Several analogues demonstrated superior D3 receptor binding affinities and selectivities as compared to the parent ligand. Compound **29** (*N*-{4-[4-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-trans-but-2-enyl}-4-pyridine-2-yl-benzamide) displayed the most promising pharmacological profile (K_i (hD3) = 0.7 nM, K_i $(hD2_L) = 93.3 \text{ nM}, D2/D3$ selectivity ratio of 133). In addition, this ligand inhibited quippirole stimulation of mitogenesis at human dopamine D3 receptors transfected into Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, with an EC₅₀ value of 3.0 nM. Compound 29 was a nearly 5 times more potent antagonist at the D3 receptor than 1 (EC₅₀ = 14.4 nM). Moreover, a decrease in $c \log D$ value of ~ 2 orders of magnitude was determined for this novel D3-receptor-preferring ligand, compared to 1. In summary, chemical modification of 1 has resulted in compounds with high affinity and selectivity for D3 receptors. The most promising candidate, compound 29, is currently being evaluated in animal models of cocaine abuse and will provide an important tool with which to elucidate the role of D3 receptors in drug reinforcement in vivo.

Introduction

The D3 receptor, first described in 1990,¹ is a member of the D2-like receptor family, and possesses $\sim 50\%$ overall homology to the D2 receptor subtype.² D3 receptors are localized in the limbic areas of the brain, specifically the islands of Calleja and the nucleus accumbens.³ The discovery of D3-receptor-selective antagonists and partial agonists has received particular attention for the potential treatment of cocaine abuse since the compound BP 897 (**2**, Figure 1) was first reported to block cue-controlled cocaine seeking in rats.⁴ Additional studies with **2**⁵ and the potent and selective D3 antagonist SB-277011 (**3**)^{6–8} further support the development of D3-receptor-selective ligands as potential cocaine abuse medications. Considerable progress in developing D3 receptor ligands as potential medications for neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders, in addition to drug abuse, has been made and recently reviewed.⁹ However, chemical modification of the first generation drugs has been required to improve bioavailability for in vivo evaluation. For example, it was discovered that **3** was subject to metabolism by aldehyde oxidase, which predicts that this compound would be poorly bioavailable in humans, despite early preclinical success in animal models.¹⁰ By replacement of the arylcyano moiety, which was subject to metabolism, with bioisosteres, GlaxoSmithKline recently reported a second generation D3 receptor antagonist with high pharmacological specificity that is metabolically stable, orally active, and CNS penetrant.¹⁰

In the 4-phenylpiperazine class of D3-receptor-selective ligands, significant structure-activity relationships (SAR) have been described wherein optimal D3-receptor-binding affinities were obtained when the phenylpiperazine moiety is linked to an aryl amide via a butyl chain.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Substitution of the phenylpiperazine ring was optimized with a 2,3-dichloro-substitution pattern,

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: (410)-550-6568 Ext. 114. Fax: (410)-550-6855. E-mail: anewman@ intra.nida.nih.gov.

[†] National Institutes of Health.

[‡] University of North Texas Health Science Center.



SB-277011 (3)



and the aryl amide functional group gave pharmacological selectivity when extended to a di- or triaryl ring system.^{13,15} However, it was discovered that many of the most potent D3 receptor ligands were also highly lipophilic ($c \log D > 6$),¹⁵ which limited solubility and might compromise in vivo testing. Encouraging initial results with structurally more rigid analogues¹⁵ obtained by unsaturation of the butyl linker and heterocyclic analogues of 1¹⁶ led us to investigate the combination of heterocyclic and substituted phenyl trans olefins as potential potent and selective probes for the dopamine D3 receptor that were less lipophilic than the first generation ligands.

Chemistry

The synthesis of the novel olefin analogues of N-{4-[4-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]butyl}arylcarboxamides **7**-**36** was achieved by following a previously reported pathway.^{15,17} As depicted in Scheme 1, the



N-phthalimido-protected *trans*-butenyl bromide **4** was linked to 2,3-dichlorophenylpiperazine. Deprotection of the intermediate **5** to the primary amine **6** was achieved by treatment with hydrazine. Amidation either using the acid chloride under Schotten–Baumann conditions (method A) or using 1,1-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI, method B) gave the desired products generally in moderate to good yields. Compounds **42**, **43**,^{11,12} and **44**^{11,12} were prepared according to previously described methods^{15,17} and analogous to their olefinic derivatives except the fully saturated derivative of **6** was used. All carboxylic acids were either commercially available or were prepared by literature methods. The resulting amides were converted to their appropriate salts for biological testing.

Results and Discussion

Thirty three novel ligands and several previously reported ligands¹⁵ were evaluated in competition binding assays in HEK 293 cells transfected with either D2_L, D3, or D4 human dopamine receptors. The displaced radioligand was the high-affinity, selective D2-like receptor antagonist 2,3-dimethoxy-5-[¹²⁵I]-iodo-*N*-[9-benzyl-9-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3 β -yl] benzamide (¹²⁵I-IABN).¹⁸ In addition, *c* log *D* values were calculated to provide a quantitative measure of lipophilicity to use in our drug design. These data are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

The effect on the binding affinities at the D2 and D3 receptors and D2/D3 selectivities induced by a substituent on the phenyl ring in the benzamides **7–25** is shown by comparison with the unsubstituted derivative **37** in Table 1. Generally, phenyl-ring substitution was well-tolerated at the D3 receptor ($K_i = 0.4-3.8$ nM). However, for these simple substituted benzamides, D2/D3 receptor binding selectivities were low to modest (D2/D3 = 2–34-fold). A small halogen substituent at the para-position on the phenyl ring did not have a significant impact on D2/D3 selectivity. However, the other substituents in the para position of the benzamide appeared to be the favored site for D2/D3 selectivity, and a para > meta > ortho pattern of D2/D3 receptor



 Table 1. Human D₂-Family Receptor Subtype Binding Data in HEK Cells for trans-Butenyl Benzamide Analogues



Compd.	Ar	c log D	D2	D3	D2/D3	
			K _i [nN	1] ± SEM		
7	€¢¢	4.64	10.1 ± 1.8	1.2 ± 0.1	8	
8	F *	5.31	8.8 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.4	5	
9	F S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	5.74	6.1 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.2	6	
10		5.18	10.2 ± 2.8	1.3 ± 0.2	8	
11	CI *	5.86	15.0 ± 2.9	2.7 ± 0.6	6	
12	cr.	5.77	17.5 ± 3.6	2.6 ± 0.2	7	
13		5.62	10.6 ± 2.4	3.8 ± 0.6	3	
14	Ŷ.	6.31	28.1 ± 3.0	3.2 ± 0.3	9	
15		6.21	35.4 ± 7.6	3.4 ± 0.6	10	
16	€ Come	4.82	3.7 ± 1.1	0.6 ± 0.1	6	
17	oMe *	4.65	39.1 ± 6.4	3.0 ± 0.3	13	
18	Meo	5.18	30.4 ± 3.8	1.2 ± 0.2	25	
19	С, en	5.31	6.6 ± 1.9	0.8 ± 0.2	8	
20	HO	4.61	13.7 ± 4.8	0.4 ± 0.0	34	
21		5.29	7.4 ± 0.4	3.4 ± 0.6	2	
22	NO *	4.92	21.9 ± 4.0	2.3 ± 0.5	10	
23	0 ₂ N	5.17	19.7 ± 3.6	0.7 ± 0.1	28	
24	$\operatorname{res}^{\star}_{\operatorname{NH}_2}$	4.77	18.2 ± 4.6	2.2 ± 0.3	8	
25	NH.	4.04	29.0 ± 9.4	2.0 ± 0.4	15	
37	× 12	5.13	2.9 ± 1.0	0.8 ± 0.1	4	

^{*a*} The methods for the binding assays are described in the Experimental Methods section.¹⁸ K_i values are the mean of at least three independent determinations. ^{*b*} Calculated partition coefficients at physiological pH (7.4); ACD/LogD Suite, Advanced Chemistry Development Inc., Toronto, Canada.

binding selectivities was generally followed. The iodobenzamides (13-15) had somewhat lower D3 receptor binding affinities than the unsubstituted derivative 37 $(\sim 4-5$ -fold). For these iodo-substituted derivatives, the binding affinities at the D3 receptor appeared to be generally unaffected by the position of the substituent.

Co	mpd. H	let <i>c</i> log	<i>D</i> D2	D3	D4	D2/D3	D4/D3	
				Ki [nM] ± SI	EM			
2	26	→ •N 3.93	3 16.9 ± 3	.7 2.6 ± 0.6	n.d.	7	-	
2	27	4.1	5 19.6 ± 5	5.3 2.5 ± 0.6	n.d.	8	-	
2	28 N	3.7	5 31.2 ± 3	.1 2.0 ± 0.6	n.d.	16	-	
2	29 <u>N</u>	-√ 5.34	4 93.3 ± 1	2.0 0.7 ± 0.1	375 ± 18	133	540	
3	80 (N)	- 5.2°	7 54.5±5	0.9 ± 0.3	520 ± 55	61	580	
3	81 ∧⊖	-√ 5.0	7 43.7±5	5.1 0.9 ± 0.1	n.d.	49	-	
3	32 (_N	-√ 5.54	4 45.7 ± 1	1 1.3 ± 0.1	n.d.	35	-	
3	33 [[^N _N -	√ → 4.92	2 8.0 ± 1	.0 0.3 ± 0.0	n.d.	27	-	
3	34	5.3	1 65.5 ± 2	2.9 3.8 ± 0.9	1110 ± 560	17	292	
3	85	√s 7.10	0 149±7	7.9 1.1 ± 0.0	1770 ± 390	135	1610	
3	6	5.8 €	6 76.5 ± 1	4.0 2.1 ± 0.5	1070 ± 380	36	510	
3	38 ¹⁵	7.0	7 168 ± 2	9 1.5 ± 0.1	1020 ± 160	112	680	

Table 2. Human D₂-Family Receptor Subtype Binding Data in HEK Cells for *trans*-Butenyl Heterocyclic Analogues^a

0

^{*a*} K_i and $c \log D$ values were obtained as described in Table 1.

However, at the D2 receptor, the 2-iodo derivative 13 $(K_i = 10.6 \text{ nM})$ showed a higher binding affinity than the 3-iodo benzamide 14 ($K_i = 28.1$ nM) and 4-iodo ligand 15 ($K_i = 35.4$ nM). The nitro derivatives (21-23) and the methoxylated ligands (16-18) exhibited similar SAR dependence on the position of substituent on the phenyl ring as the iodo-benzamides (13-15) at the D2 receptor. This effect was most pronounced for the methoxylated derivatives, wherein the 4-methoxy analogue 18 had a 10-fold lower affinity at the D2 receptor than the 2-methoxy ligand 16. The 4-methoxy ligand 18 showed a comparable affinity at the D3 receptor ($K_i = 1.2$ nM) to the unsubstituted phenyl derivative **37**, resulting in a D2/D3 selectivity of 26. The 4-hydroxy 20 and the 4-nitro 23 derivatives demonstrated similar D2/D3 ratios of 34 and 28, respectively. Thus, the demethylation of 18 to form the 4-hydroxy ligand 20 led to higher affinities at both the D2 and the D3 receptors of $K_i = 13.7$ nM and $K_i = 0.4$ nM, respectively but did not significantly improve the D2/ D3 selectivity.

The binding affinities at the human $D2_{L}$ and D3receptors for the heterocyclic analogues with the transbutenyl linker are depicted in Table 2 and compared to **38**.¹⁵ The simple pyridine-substituted amides (26-28)were found to have low D2/D3 selectivities. All of these derivatives demonstrated similar binding affinities at the D3 receptor ($K_i = 2.0-2.6$ nM). However, moving the nitrogen atom around the pyridine ring appeared to follow a similar pattern as described above for the phenyl-substituted benzamides wherein the 4-pyridineamido derivative exhibited the highest D2/D3 binding selectivity (16-fold). A phenyl spacer was introduced between the pyridine system and amide moiety to form the pyridine-benzamides 29-31. Overall, these ligands exhibited significantly enhanced D2/D3 selectivities. Compared to the simple pyridine-amides (26-28), the affinities at D3 receptors improved slightly ($K_i = 0.7 -$ 0.9 nM). Interestingly, the order of D2/D3 binding selectivity dependent on the nitrogen position on the pyridine moiety appeared to be reversed, whereas the 2-pyridylphenylbenzamide 29 showed the highest D2/ D3 binding selectivity (133-fold).

To further improve the hydrophilicity of **29**, a second nitrogen was introduced into the 5-position of the pyridine moiety or the pyridine moiety was replaced with an imidazole system. However, the 2,5-pyrimidinebenzamide derivative 32 as well as the imidazole ligand

Table 3. Human D_2 -Family Receptor Subtype Binding Data in HEK Cells for Selected Butyl Analogues^{*a*}

	Ar N	N_				
Compd.	Ar	D2	D3	D2/D3		
		Ki [nM] ± SEM				
1		112 ± 22	2.0 ± 0.4	56		
39 ¹⁵		39.3 ± 10.0	1.6 ± 0.5	25		
40 ¹⁵		29.6 ± 9.0	1.4 ± 0.1	21		
41 ¹⁵		24.8 ± 8.6	0.5 ± 0.2	50		
42	~~~~·	18.2 ± 2.6	0.5 ± 0.1	36		
43 ^{11,12}	€ S *	64.7 ± 8.9	0.8 ± 0.2	81		
44 ^{11,12}		44.8 ± 10.6	0.8 ± 0.3	56		

^{*a*} K_i values were obtained as described in Table 1.

33 showed a remarkable effect of the second nitrogen in reducing D2/D3 binding selectivity as compared to that of **29**, which was primarily due to an increase in binding affinities at D2 receptors. Although both compounds showed similar D2/D3 selectivities, their binding affinities at the D3 receptor were quite different. Compound **33** had a 4-fold higher binding affinity at the D3 receptor than that of **32** and was one of the most potent D3 ligands in the series. Its binding profile at both D2 and D3 receptors appeared to be similar to that of the 4-phenolic derivative **20** (Table 1).

Additional rigid heterocyclic derivatives were further investigated wherein the 2-quinoxaline carboxylic acid derivative **34** showed relatively low affinity ($K_i = 3.8$ nM) at the D3 receptor and was only moderately D2/ D3 selective. The benzothiophen analogue **35** showed higher selectivity than the benzofuran **36**, D2/D3 = 135 and 36, respectively. Thus, the D2/D3 binding profile for the benzothiophen derivative **35** was similar to that for the 2-pyridine-benzamide **29**. However, its relatively high lipophilicity ($c \log D = 7.10$) would likely reduce its viability for further in vivo development.

Selected compounds with high D3 affinities were evaluated for binding at human D4 receptors. None of the compounds tested (Table 2) showed high binding affinities ($K_i = 375-1770$ nM) at D4 receptors and displayed D4/D3 selectivities in the range of 292-1610.

The question arose as to whether the introduction of a *trans*-butenyl linker between aryl amide and piperazine moiety uniformly improved D3 receptor binding affinity and D2/D3 selectivity. For comparison, binding affinities at the D2_L and D3 human dopamine receptor for selected derivatives with a saturated butyl linker are depicted in Table 3. The *trans*-butenyl pyridinebenzamides derivatives **29** and **30** (Table 2) were compared to the respective compounds with the butyl linker (41^{15} and 42). Within both sets of pyridinebenzamides, the affinities at the D3 receptor were similar, for example, for the 2-pyridine-benzamides 29 and **41**, the $K_i = 0.7$ and 0.5 nM, respectively. However, there was a significant difference for the binding affinities at the D2 receptor. Compound **41** ($K_i = 24.8 \text{ nM}$) had a nearly 4-fold higher affinity at this receptor than **29** ($K_i = 93.3 \text{ nM}$), resulting in an overall improved D2/ D3 selectivity for the *trans*-butenyl derivative. The trans-butenyl vs butyl ligand pairs 38/1 and 35/43 showed a similar relationship with the saturated derivative having higher binding affinities at the D2 receptor while the binding affinity at D3 receptor was similar or unaffected. Though, as can be shown for the saturated analogue 43 (K_i (D2_L) = 64.7 nM) and the olefinic derivative **35** (K_i (D2_L) = 149 nM), the effect on D2 binding affinity was somewhat less pronounced than that for 41 vs 29. However, the 4-iodo benzamide 39 $(K_i (D3) = 1.6 \text{ nM})$, which featured a butyl linker between the amide moiety and the piperazine system, had a \sim 2-fold higher affinity at the D3 receptor than the *trans*-butenyl ligand **15** (Table 1, $K_i = 3.4$ nM). Both ligands demonstrated similar binding affinities at the D2 receptor of 39.3 and 35.4 nM. So that overall, for olefinic ligand 15, the D2/D3 binding selectivity was reduced. Other examples were the benzofuran pairs (36 and 44) and the quinoxaline derivatives (34 and 40), where the introduction of the trans olefinic linker has a small but negative impact on binding affinity at D3. The saturated benzothiophen 43 and the benzofuran 44analogues were previously reported to have extraordinary D3 affinities and D2/D3 selectivities.^{11,12} However, under our assay conditions, these analogues demonstrated significantly lower D2/D3 selectivities. Although introduction of the trans-olefinic linker to give 36 did not serve to improve binding affinity or selectivity at D3 receptors, this modification to the benzothiophen analogue resulted in one of the most potent and selective analogues in the series, 35. In total, the replacement of the saturated butyl linker with a trans olefin did not uniformly improve D3 binding affinity and selectivity. The impact of saturation was thus dependent on the aryl terminus of the individual compound. Nevertheless, the compounds of most interest for further investigation, in terms of pharmacological profile and lipophilicity, possessed the trans-olefin linker.

Binding affinities have commonly been used to predict SAR for the D3 receptor and D2/D3 selectivities. Generally, if in vitro functional data is obtained, then only the D3 receptor activity is reported. The reasons for evaluating D2 intrinsic activity were twofold: (1) to determine if selectivity based on potencies in the functional assay corresponded to in vitro binding selectivity and (2) when these compounds are evaluated behaviorally, it will be important to know their intrinsic activity at D2 receptors as this will likely play a role in vivo, especially at the higher doses. In this study, intrinsic activities for selected compounds were determined in functional assays using stimulation (agonist) or inhibition of quinpirole stimulation (antagonist) of mitogenesis in human dopamine D3 or D2 receptors transfected into Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells (Table 4). All of the compounds evaluated were antago-

Table 4. Functional Assays Using Inhibition of Quinpirole Stimulation in Cloned Human Dopamine D_2 and D_3 Receptors Transfected into CHO Cells for Selected Analogues

Compd.	Ar	D ₂	D ₃	D ₂ /D ₃		
		EC ₅₀ [nM] ± SEM ^a				
15		162 ± 51	24.1 ± 3.4	7		
18	MeO	61.8 ± 21.0	4.79 ± 1.8	13		
20	HO	8.29 ± 2.10	1.0 ±0.1	8		
23	0 ₂ N	13.8 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 0.5	6		
29	$\sum_{N} - $	80.9 ± 6.7	3.0 ± 0.7	27		
30		36.4 ± 0.3	2.9 ± 0.4	13		
35	€ s	254 ± 35	9.6 ± 0.6	26		
36	€ ↓ ~	111 ± 18	18.7 ± 2.8	6		
43 ^{11,12}	€ S *	95 ± 18	4.9 ± 0.3	19		
44 ^{11,12}		118 ± 14	9.0 ± 1.4	13		
1		1280 ± 270	14.4 ± 0.5	89		
37 ¹⁵	Ċ,	16.1 ± 4.3	7.7 ± 1.6	2		

^{*a*} These data were obtained through the service of CTDP, Division of Treatment Research and Development, NIDA, using a contract (N01DA-1-8816) protocol.

nists in these functional tests. Although the D2/D3 selectivities established in the binding assays for these novel *trans*-butenyl analogues corresponded to the D2/D3 selectivities found in this functional assay, the ratios depicting selectivity were significantly lower (up to 6-fold) than those in the binding assays.

All olefinic derivatives were found to be antagonists at the D3 as well as at the D2 receptors. The pyridinebenzamido derivatives 29 and 30 showed similar potencies of $EC_{50} = 3.0$ and 2.9 nM, respectively. In this in vitro bioassay both compounds were nearly 5-fold more potent as D3 receptor antagonists than the parent compound **1**. As in the binding assays, the differences between the pyridine-benzamide **29** and **30** were more pronounced at the D2 receptor. Further, both compounds were also more potent than 1 at the D2 receptor, 16-fold and 35-fold, respectively. Although 29 appeared to be moderately D3-selective (D2/D3 = 27), the D2/D3 selectivity for 30 was lower (13-fold). Thus, overall D2/ D3 selectivity was found to be lower for **29** than for the parent compound 1 even though it was \sim 5-fold more potent as a D3 receptor antagonist. The thiophene derivative 35 displayed a similar D2/D3 selectivity based on potencies in the functional assay to 29 but was somewhat less potent as a D3 receptor antagonist (\sim 3fold). Replacement of the sulfur by an oxygen atom in the furan derivative 36 resulted in a 4-fold loss of D2/ D3 selectivity. As in the binding assay, the furan derivative 36 was less potent at the D3 receptor but more potent at the D2 receptor than 35. The unsubstituted benzamide 37 was found to be essentially nonselective in this functional assay. A substitution in the 4-position improved D2/D3 selectivity based on potencies in the functional assay. The 4-methoxy derivative **18** was found to be 6-fold more D2/D3 selective than **37**. The 4-hydroxy derivative **20** was the most potent antagonist prepared in this series ($EC_{50} = 1.0 \text{ nM}$), but it lacked significant D2/D3 selectivity (D2/D3 = 8). At this time, we are unclear as to whether the in vitro binding or functional assays have greater predictive power for in vivo potency and selectivity. As these assays are performed in cell lines, under nonphysiological conditions, it is imperative to further evaluate these compounds in vivo and perhaps in additional in vitro functional tests in an attempt to bring clarity to the current D2/D3 selectivity inconsistencies.

Conclusions

Thirty three novel heterocyclic or substituted arylamides were prepared with either a saturated or a trans olefinic butyl linking chain and a 2,3-dichlorophenylpiperazine terminus. These compounds were evaluated in vitro for both binding and function at hD2_L and hD3 receptors, and selected analogues were tested for binding at hD4 receptors. Most of these novel analogues displayed high affinities for D3 receptors ($K_i = 0.3-3.8$ nM), and all of the compounds synthesized were D3 receptor selective in both binding and function as D3 antagonists.

For selected compounds, the affinities at the hD4 receptor were low, and thus the D4/D3 selectivity was > 290-fold. Discrepancies in K_i values across laboratories appear to be dependent on cell lines, radioligands, and assay conditions, which make comparisons to literature values difficult. This conundrum has been noted for D3 agonists, as well.^{3,19} Likewise, a lack of correspondence between binding affinities and the commonly used mitogenesis assay also provides a complication to drug design in this area. Hence, compounds that have been reported in other laboratories to have extraordinarily high binding affinities and selectivities (1, 43, and 44)were discovered to have comparable pharmacological profiles in our binding assays to several of our analogues and significantly reduced selectivities in this functional assay. Overall, compound 29 demonstrated the most interesting pharmacological profile, in this series, and is currently being evaluated in a number of in vivo models of drug abuse. In summary, the design and synthesis of high-affinity D3 receptor ligands has been accomplished in this structural class and several related structural classes of D3 receptor ligands.¹¹⁻¹⁴ D3 receptor selectivity will have to be further determined in additional in vitro and, importantly, in vivo assays to further elucidate the role of the D3 receptor in neuropsychiatric disorders and drug abuse.

Experimental Methods

Chemistry. All chemicals and reagents were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. or Lancaster Synthesis, Inc. and used without further purification. All melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AC-300 or a Varian Mercury Plus 400 instrument. Proton chemical shifts are reported as parts per million (δ ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane (0.00 ppm) as an internal standard. Coupling constants are measured in hertz (Hz).

Chemical shifts for ¹³C NMR spectra are reported as δ relative to deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃, 77.5 ppm, CD₃OD 49.3). Infrared spectra were recorded as a neat film on NaCl plates with a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum RX I FT-IR system. Microanalyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc. (Norcross, GA) and agree within $\pm 0.4\%$ of calculated values. All column chromatography was performed using the silica gel (Merck, 230–400 mesh, 60 Å) and CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1) as eluting solvent unless otherwise indicated. For the amide formation reactions, absolute amylene-stabilized chloroform was used. If not otherwise stated, then all spectroscopic data and yields refer to the free base. Compounds **43** and **44** were prepared according to literature procedures^{11,12} and were tested as oxalate salts.

General Procedures. Procedure A. The appropriate benzoic acid was converted into its corresponding acid chloride by treatment with thionyl chloride, and this intermediate was reacted with **6** in a Schotten–Baumann-type reaction as described previously.¹⁷ The crude product was purified either by chromatography or by recrystallization of its appropriate salt.

Procedure B. In a typical conversion, CDI (0.11 g, 0.66 mmol) was added to a solution of the appropriate benzoic acid (0.66 mmol) in 2 mL of absolute pyridine, and the mixture was stirred under argon for 1 h. After that time, a solution of **6** (0.20 g, 0.66 mmol) in 5 mL of absolute chloroform was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight. All volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by chromatography to give the title compound.

4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-trans-but-2envlamine (6). A suspension of 4-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)-piperazine (7.55 g, 32.8 mmol), 2-(4-trans-bromo-but-2-enyl)isoindole-1,3-dione $(4)^{20}$ (9.19 g, 32.8 mmol), and sodium bicarbonate (13.7 g, 161 mmol) in acetonitrile (100 mL) was heated to 80 °C under an atmosphere of argon for 5 h. Subsequently, most of the inorganic salts were removed by filtration of the hot (CAUTION!) reaction mixture. The Nprotected intermediate 5 crystallized out of the filtrate and was taken up in a minimum amount of chloroform. After filtration and evaporation of the solvent, 10.9 g (77%) was obtained as a solid. $R_f = 0.45$ (ethyl acetate). Mp 144–146 °C (CHCl₃). IR (film): v 1714. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.62 (s, br., $\begin{array}{l} (4H), \ 3.05 - 3.06 \ (m, \ 6H), \ 4.31 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 5.69 - 5.82 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 6.95 \\ (m, \ 1H), \ 7.10 - 7.16 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 7.69 - 7.75 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 7.81 - 7.88 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 7.81 - 7.81 - 7.88 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 7.81 - 7.81$ 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 39.04, 51.21, 53.18, 60.09, 118.60, 123.31, 124.54, 127.17, 127.44, 127.47, 129.91, 132.12, 133.99, 151.21, 167.90. Deprotection of 5 was achieved in a solution (5.12 g, 11.9 mmol) of absolute ethanol (150 mL) under an atmosphere of argon, to which hydrazine (0.75 mL, 24 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at reflux for 2.5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled (0 °C) and filtered, and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography to give the title compound (2.71 g, 76%) as an oil. $R_f = 0.49$ (CHCl₃/ CH₃OH/N(C₂H₅)₃ 10:1:1). IR (film): v 3358. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.50 (s, br., 2H), 2.64 (s, br., 4H), 3.06 (m, 6H), 3.34 (m, 2H), $5.64{-}5.84~(m,\,2H),\,6.96~(m,\,1H),\,7.11{-}7.17~(m,\,2H).$ ^{13}C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 43.50, 51.24, 53.15, 60.38, 118.58, 124.53, 126.56, 127.42, 127.46, 133.97, 134.71, 151.22.

N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-2-fluorobenzamide (7) was prepared from 2-fluorobenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A. Yield: 96%. R_f = 0.34 (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 164 °C. IR (film): ν 3354, 1649. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.66 (s, 4H), 3.09 (s, 6H), 4.13 (m, 2H), 5.72– 5.85 (m, 2H), 6.94 (m, 1H), 7.07–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.26 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.54 (m, 2H), 8.05–8.15 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ41.34, 46.26, 52.17, 56.67, 115.94 (J_{CF} = 25), 118.57, 120.87 (J_{CF} = 11), 124.52, 124.78 (J_{CF} = 3), 127.41, 128.72, 129.60, 132.04 (J_{CF} = 2), 133.28 (J_{CF} = 9), 133.94, 151.16, 160.55 (J_{CF} = 247), 163.01. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂Cl₂FN₃O·2HCl) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-**piperazin-1-yl**]-*trans*-but-**2-enyl**}-**3-fluorobenzamide** (**8**) was prepared from 3-fluorobenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A. Yield: 67%. $R_f =$ 0.34 (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 124–126 °C. IR (film): ν 3314, 1643. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.63 (s, 4H), 3.05–3.07 (m, 6H), 4.08 (m, 2H), 5.76–5.78 (m, 2H), 6.62 (t, J 5.4, 1H), 6.96 (m, 1H), 7.10–7.22 (m, 3H), 7.37 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.57 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 42.32, 51.88, 53.88, 60.78, 114.54 ($J_{\rm CF}$ = 23), 118.67 ($J_{\rm CF}$ = 21), 118.72, 122.45 ($J_{\rm CF}$ = 3), 124.68, 127.50, 129.13, 129.56, 130.26 ($J_{\rm CF}$ = 8), 133.99, 136.63 ($J_{\rm CF}$ = 6), 151.00, 162.48 ($J_{\rm CF}$ = 246), 165.74. Anal. ($C_{21}H_{22}Cl_2FN_3O$ ·HCl·0.25H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-4-fluorobenzamide (9) was prepared from 4-fluorobenzoic acid and 6 according to procedure B. Yield: 73%. Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 56 °C. IR (film): ν3302, 1645. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.64 (s, 4H), 3.06–3.08 (m, 6H), 4.08 (m, 2H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 6.93 (m, 1H), 7.36– 7.40 (m, 4H), 7.76 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.93, 51.55, 53.53, 60.46, 115.44 (J_{CF} = 22), 118.43, 124.39, 127.23, 128.74, 129.02 (J_{CF} = 8), 129.44, 130.32, 133.71, 150.75, 164.19 (J_{CF} = 249), 165.72. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂Cl₂FN₃O·1.5HCl·H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-2-chlorobenzamide (10) was prepared from 2-chlorobenzoic acid and 6 according to procedure A. Yield: 67%. R_f = 0.37 (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 64 °C. IR (film): v 3282, 1645. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.65 (s, 4H), 2.92–3.08 (m, 6H), 4.08 (s, 2H), 5.79 (s, 2H), 6.55 (m, 1H), 6.94 (m, 1H), 7.10–7.14 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.1, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.3, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 39.85, 49.58, 51.51, 58.53, 116.98, 122.92, 123.64, 125.43, 126.56, 127.37, 127.50, 127.78, 128.41, 128.55, 128.96, 129.64, 132.33, 133.42, 149.55, 164.72. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂Cl₃N₃O· HCl·1.25H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**3**-chlorobenzamide (11) was prepared from 3-chlorobenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A and purified by recrystallization of its oxalate from acetone. Yield: 66%. $R_f =$ 0.48 (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (oxalate, acetone) 108–111 °C. IR (film): ν 3309, 1641. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.64 (s, 4H), 3.07– 3.08 (m, 6H), 4.07 (m, 2H), 5.75–5.77 (m, 2H), 6.28 (m, 1H), 6.93 (dd, J = 6.7, 2.7, 1H), 7.09–7.14 (m, 2H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.6,1H), 7.44 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.6, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 7.4, 1H), 7.74 (t, J = 1.6, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 42.20, 51.77, 53.76, 60.68, 118.66, 124.63, 125.05, 127.29, 127.46, 129.15, 129.46, 129.89, 131.52, 133.96, 134.69, 136.11, 150.98, 165.65. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂-Cl₃N₃O·(COOH)₂·CH₃COCH₃) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2-enyl**}-**4**-chlorobenzamide (12) was prepared from 4-chlorobenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A. Yield: 79%. $R_f =$ 0.39 (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 69 °C. IR (film): 3310, 1642. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.64 (s, 4H), 3.06-3.07 (m, 6H), 4.07 (m, 2H), 5.76-5.77 (m, 2H), 6.68 (t, J = 5.2, 1H), 6.95 (m, 1H), 7.10-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.6, 2H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.6, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 41.52, 51.12, 53.18, 60.14, 118.61, 124.63, 127.46, 128.41, 128.78, 129.83, 132.74, 133.99, 137.71, 151.09, 166.24. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂Cl₃N₃O·HCl·0.5H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**2**-iodobenzamide (13) was prepared from 2-iodobenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure B. Yield: 54%. $R_f =$ 0.47 (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 121–123 °C. IR (film): ν 3272, 1644. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.65 (s, 4H), 3.06–3.10 (m, 6H), 4.09 (m, 2H), 5.75– 5.89 (m, 2H), 6.00 (m, 1H), 6.95 (m, 1H), 7.06–7.17 (m, 3H), 7.34–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.85 (d, J = 7.7, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.35, 51.10, 53.02, 60.10, 92.45, 118.49, 124.41, 127.31, 127.76, 128.02, 129.19, 130.85, 132.52, 133.80, 139.65, 141.13, 142.03, 151.05, 169.10. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂Cl₂IN₃O·HCl·H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-3-iodobenzamide (14) was prepared from 3-iodobenzoic acid and 6 according to procedure A and purified as its oxalate from acetone. Yield: 56% (oxalate). $R_f = 0.38$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (oxalate, acetone) 138–142 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.48 (s, 4H), 3.02 (s, 6H), 4.05 (m, 2H), 5.74– 5.75 (m, 2H), 6.92 (m, 1H), 7.04–7.14 (m, 4H), 7.77 (t, J 7.7, 1H), 8.13 (t, J 1.5, 1H). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.45, 51.03, 52.99, 60.12, 94.09, 118.45, 124.35, 126.14, 127.21, 127.32, 128.79, 129.36, 129.98, 133.74, 135.91, 136.25, 140.07, 150.99, 165.73. Anal. (C21H22Cl2IN3O·(COOH)2) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**4**-iodobenzamide (15) was prepared from 4-iodobenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A and purified as its oxalate salt from acetone. Yield: 96% (oxalate). $R_f = 0.37$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (oxalate, acetone) 181–183 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.62 (m, 4H), 3.04–3.06 (m, 6H), 4.06 (m, 2H), 5.75 (m, 2H), 6.50 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (dd, J = 6.1, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (m, 2H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.51, 51.20, 53.17, 60.15, 98.44, 118.57, 124.54, 127.43, 128.54, 129.12, 129.42, 133.74, 133.94, 137.68, 151.14, 166.49. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂Cl₂IN₃O·(COOH)₂) C, H, N.

N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-2-methoxy-benzamide (16) was prepared from 2-methoxybenzoic acid and 6 according to procedure A. Yield: 78%. R_f = 0.56 (CH₃Cl/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (fumarate, ethanol) 189 °C. IR (film): ν 3401, 1653. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.51–2.65 (m, 4H), 2.97–3.10 (m, 6H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 4.11 (m, 2H), 5.68–5.86 (m, 2H), 6.84–7.14 (m, 5H), 7.48 (m, 1H), 8.20 (dd, *J* 7.8, 1.9, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 40.96, 51.03, 55.77, 60.07, 111.17, 118.52, 121.13, 121.25, 24.43, 127.30, 127.35, 127.58, 130.49, 132.14, 132.66, 133.81, 151.06, 157.32, 164.99. Anal. (C₂₂H₂₅Cl₂N₃O₂·(CHCOOH)₂·0.5H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**3**-methoxy-benzamide (17) was prepared from 3-methoxybenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A and purified by recrystallization as its fumarate from acetone. Yield: 55% (fumarate). $R_f = 0.40$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (fumarate, acetone) 122–124 °C. IR (film): ν 3317, 1641. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.49 (s, 4H), 2.95 (s, 6H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 5.66–5.83 (m, 2H), 6.92 (m, 1H), 7.08–7.15 (m, 3H), 7.26–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.38 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.35, 50.87, 52.91, 55.28, 59.93, 112.34, 117.48, 118.54, 118.70, 124.51, 127.31, 127.38, 128.07, 129.39, 130.26, 133.84, 135.75, 150.94, 159.64, 167.11. Anal. (C₂₂H₂₅Cl₂N₃O₂·(CHCOOH)₂· 0.5H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**4**-methoxy-benzamide (18) was prepared from 4-methoxybenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A and purified by recrystallization of its oxalate salt from acetone. Yield: 51% (oxalate). $R_f = 0.45$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (oxalate, acetone) 167–172 °C. IR (film): ν 3320, 1635. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.51–2.71 (m, 4H), 2.97–3.13 (m, 6H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.94 (m, 2H), 5.75–5.83 (m, 2H), 6.50 (t, J = 5.5, 1H), 6.96–6.85 (m, 3H), 7.09–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.98 ("d", J = 8.6, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 42.14, 51.91, 54.13, 56.29, 61.11, 114.19, 119.03, 124.96, 127.04, 127.82, 129.05, 129.07, 130.42, 134.30, 151.39, 162.27, 166.86. Anal. (C₂₂H₂₅Cl₂N₃O₂·(COOH)₂·H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-2-hydroxy-benzamide (19) was prepared from 2hydroxybenzoic acid and 6 according to procedure B. Yield: 34%. R_f = 0.47 (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 121 °C. IR (film): ν 3329, 1638. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.63 (s, 4H), 3.05–3.07 (m, 6H), 4.08 (m, 2H), 5.75–5.78 (m, 2H), 6.80–6.97 (m, 4H), 7.10–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.37 (m, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.74, 51.84, 53.83, 60.68, 114.25, 118.65, 118.67, 118.71, 124.59, 125.40, 127.43, 128.94, 129.51, 133.91, 134.19, 150.93, 161.18, 169.35. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₃Cl₂N₃O₂·2HCl) C, H, N.

N-{**4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]**-*trans*-but-**2-enyl**}-**4-hydroxy-benzamide (20)** was prepared from 4hydroxybenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure B. Yield: 51%. $R_f = 0.42$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 148 °C. IR (film): ν 3309, 1633. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.69 (m, 4H), 3.05–3.08 (m, 6H), 4.01 (m, 2H), 5.70–5.75 (m, 2H), 6.67 (t, J = 5.5, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.6, 2H), 6.88 (m, 1H), 7.07–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.6, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.23, 50.62, 53.00, 60.01, 115.60, 118.65, 124.75, 124.93, 126.66, 127.35, 127.51, 129.00, 131.27, 133.93, 150.74, 160.43, 167.71. Anal. $(\rm C_{21}H_{23}\rm Cl_2N_3O_2{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}\rm 2HCl{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}0.5H_2O)$ C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**2**-nitrobenzamide (**21**) was prepared from 2-nitrobenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A. Yield: 90%. $R_f =$ 0.42 (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 144 °C. IR (film): ν 3272, 1649. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.51 (s, 4H), 2.93–2.94 (m, 6H), 3.90 (m, 2H), 5.59–5.73 (m, 2H), 6.74 (t, J 5.7, 1H), 6.83 (m, 1H), 7.00– 7.07 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.54 (m, 3H), 7.85 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.0, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.34, 50.97, 52.92, 59.94, 118.47, 124.20, 124.34, 127.12, 127.36, 128.55, 128.99, 129.01, 130.23, 132.57, 133.48, 133.68, 146.19, 150.97, 166.24. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂Cl₂N₄O₃· HCl·1.5H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**3**-nitrobenzamide (**22**) was prepared from 3-nitrobenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A. Yield: 71%. R_f = 0.37 (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 158 °C. IR (film): ν 3307, 1645, 1529, 1349. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.67 (s, 4H), 3.07–3.10 (m, 6H), 4.12 (m, 2H), 5.74–5.87 (m, 2H), 6.58 (t, J = 5.0, 1H), 6.95 (m, 1H), 7.10– 7.17 (m, 2H), 7.65 (t, J = 7.9, 1H), 8.18 ("d", J = 7.7, 1H), 8.35 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.1, 1.0, 1H), 8.61 (t, J = 1.9, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.81, 51.22, 53.25, 60.15, 118.62, 121.75, 124.64, 126.11, 127.48, 129.11, 129.66, 129.90, 133.31, 134.02, 136.01, 148.16, 151.15, 164.86. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂Cl₂N₄O₃·2HCl) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**4**-nitrobenzamide (**23**) was prepared from 4-nitrobenzoic acid and **6** according to procedure A and purified as its oxalate from acetone. Yield: 72% (oxalate). $R_f = 0.35$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (oxalate, acetone) 186–189 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.81 (s, 4H), 3.08–3.13 (m, 6H), 4.13 (m, 2H), 5.81–5.83 (m, 2H), 6.64 (m, 1H), 6.95 (m, 1H), 7.11–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.8, 2H), 8.25 (d, J = 8.8, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.71, 50.99, 53.07, 60.08, 109.56, 118.60, 123.74, 124.69, 127.42, 127.48, 128.18, 129.00, 129.49, 130.26, 133.98, 139.94, 149.52, 150.97, 165.25. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₂Cl₂N₄O₃·2(COOH)₂· 1.5H₂O) C, H, N.

2-Amino-N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]*trans*-but-2-enyl}-benzamide (24) was prepared from 2aminobenzoic acid and 6 according to procedure B. Yield: 46%. $R_f = 0.36$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 122 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.64 (s, 4H), 3.06–3.07 (m, 6H), 4.02 (m, 2H), 5.53 (s, br., 2H), 5.74– 5.77 (m, 2H), 6.30 (t, J = 5.3, 1H), 6.59–6.96 (m, 2H), 6.94 (m, 1H), 7.09–7.22 (m, 3H), 7.33 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.2, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 40.99, 51.13, 53.11, 60.14, 115.76, 116.45, 117.22, 118.55, 124.50, 127.09, 127.37, 127.41, 128.53, 129.99, 132.26, 133.89, 148.69, 151.10, 169.07. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₄Cl₂N₄O· 2HCl·H₂O) C, H, N.

3-Amino-N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]*trans*-but-2-enyl}-benzamide (25) was prepared from 3aminobenzoic acid and 6 according to procedure B. Yield: 41%. $R_f = 0.32$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH, 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, chloroform/ diethyl ether) 131 °C. IR (film): ν 3340, 1639. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.64 (s, 4H), 3.05–3.12 (m, 6H), 3.73 (s, br., 2H), 4.05 (m, 2H), 5.74–5.75 (m, 2H), 6.43 (t, J = 5.5, 1H), 6.76 (m, 1H), 6.94 (m, 1H), 7.05–7.19 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.33, 51.12, 53.13, 60.16, 113.72, 116.22, 117.84, 118.58, 124.54, 127.39, 127.44, 128.49, 129.36, 130.00, 133.92, 135.55, 146.87, 151.11, 167.59. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₄Cl₂N₄O·3HCl·0.33CHCl₃) C, H, N.

Pyridine-2-carboxylic acid-{**4-**[**4-**(**2**,**3-**dichlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-amide (**26**) was prepared from pyridine-2-carboxylic acid and **6** according to procedure A. Yield: 30%. $R_f = 0.41$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 171 °C. IR (film): ν 3390, 1671. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.65 (s, 4H), 3.07–3.09 (m, 6H), 4.13 (m, 2H), 5.78–5.81 (m, 2H), 6.95 (m, 1H), 7.10–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.43 (m, 1H), 7.83 (m, 1H), 8.19–8.21 (m, 2H), 8.55 (d, J = 4.8, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 40.75, 51.18, 53.16, 60.22, 118.56, 122.22, 124.51, 126.15, 127.41, 128.51, 129.78, 133.93, 137.31, 148.01, 149.75, 151.16, 164.06. Anal. $(\rm C_{20}H_{22}Cl_2N_4O\cdot$ 3HCl) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-nicotinamide (27) was prepared from nicotinoyl chloride hydrochloride and **6** according to procedure A. Yield: 61%. $R_f = 0.34$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 151 °C. IR (film): ν 3294, 1644. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.62 (s, br., 4H), 3.05 (s, br., 6H), 4.10 (m, 2H), 5.77-5.79 (m, 2H), 6.94 (m, 1H), 7.12-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.22 (t, J = 5.4, 1H), 7.35 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.8, 1H), 8.14 (d, J = 7.9, 1H), 8.64 (d, J = 3.8, 1H), 9.03 (s, br, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.42, 51.06, 53.04, 60.02, 118.46, 123.33, 124.41, 127.25, 127.34, 129.07, 129.25, 130.06, 133.79, 135.04, 147.92, 150.93, 151.93, 165.37. Anal. (C₂₀H₂₂Cl₂N₄O·3HCl·1.25H₂O) C, H, N.

Pyridine-4-carboxylic acid-{**4-**[**4-**(**2**,**3-**dichlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-amide (28) was prepared from pyridine-4-carboxylic acid and **6** according to procedure A. Yield: 68%. Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 133–135. IR (film): ν 3308, 1651. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.63 (s, 4H), 3.06 (s, 6H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 5.77 (s, 2H), 6.94 (m, 1H), 7.13– 7.16 (m, 2H), 7.66 (d, J = 5.6, 2H), 8.69 (d, J = 5.6, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.47, 51.01, 53.02, 59.97, 118.49, 120.93, 124.49, 127.26, 127.39, 129.09, 129.14, 133.81, 141.46, 150.29, 150.95, 165.28. Anal. (C₂₀H₂₂Cl₂N₄O·3HCl·0.5H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-trans-but-2-enyl}-4-pyridine-2-yl-benzamide (29). In alteration of procedure B, 4-pyridine-2-yl-benzoic acid hydrochloride²¹ was treated with 2 equiv of CDI in pyridine. After 1 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with water and extracted with chloroform. The intermediate imidazoline derivative was reacted with 6 in the usual manner. The crude amide was purified by flash chromatography and was converted into its hydrochloride for pharmacological evaluation. Yield: 62%. $R_f = 0.51$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (hydrochloride, 2-propanol) 230-232 °C. IR (film): v 3299, 1638. ¹H NMR ($\hat{CDCl_3}$): δ 2.62 (s, 4H), 3.06 (s, 6H), 4.11 (m, 2H), 5.76-5.79 (m, 2H), 6.78 (t, J = 5.5, 1H), 6.92 (m, 1H), 7.09-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.26 (m, 1H), 7.74-7.76 (m, 2H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.3, 2H, 8.04 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 8.69 (d, J = 4.6, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.48, 51.16, 53.14, 60.17, 118.55, 120.79, $122.70,\,124.49,\,126.92,\,127.37,\,127.41,\,128.84,\,129.75,\,133.89,$ 134.49, 136.86, 142.10, 149.73, 151.12, 156.08, 166.90. Anal. $(C_{26}H_{26}Cl_2N_4O{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}2HCl{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}3H_2O)$ C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**4**-pyridine-**3**-yl-benzamide (**30**) was prepared from 4-pyridine-**3**-yl-benzoic acid hydrochloride²¹ and **6** employing an alteration of procedure B as described for **29**. Yield: 31%. $R_f = 0.42$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (oxalate, ethanol) 194–196 °C. IR (film): ν 3297, 1641. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.64 (s, 4H), 3.06–3.10 (m, 6H), 4.14 (m, 2H), 5.79– 5.81 (m, 2H), 6.61 (t, J = 5.6, 1H), 6.93–6.97 (m, 1H), 7.13– 7.16 (m, 2H), 7.39 (m, 1H), 7.62–7.65 (m 2H), 7.86–7.93 (m, 3H), 8.62 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5, 1H), 8.84 (d, J = 2.0, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.52, 51.21, 53.20, 60.21, 118.57, 123.66, 124.57, 127.17, 127.21, 127.44, 127.79, 128.97, 129.73, 133.96, 134.42, 135.49, 140.81, 148.21, 149.08, 151.14, 166.74. Anal. (C₂₆H₂₆-Cl₂N₄O·(COOH)₂·2H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**4**-pyridine-**4**-yl-benzamide (**3**1) was prepared from 4-pyridine-4-yl-benzoic acid hydrochloride²¹ and **6** employing an alteration of procedure B as described for **29**. Yield: 57%. $R_f = 0.40$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (oxalate, ethanol) 192 °C. IR (film): ν 3288, 1648. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.64 (s, 4H), 3.05–3.07 (m, 6H), 4.13 (m, 2H), 5.77–5.79 (m, 2H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.93 (m, 1H), 7.08–7.13 (m, 2H), 17.49 (m, 2H), 7.66 (m, 2H), 7.89 (m, 2H), 8.51 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 42.29, 51.90, 53.87, 60.82, 118.75, 121.78, 124.73, 127.29, 127.57, 127.92, 129.12, 129.76, 134.04, 134.86, 147.10, 150.30, 151.06, 166.41. Anal. (C₂₆H₂₆Cl₂N₄O·(COOH)₂·0.5H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-4-pyrimidin-2-yl-benzamide (32) was prepared from 4-pyrimidine-2-yl-benzoic acid hydrochloride²¹ and 6 employing an alteration of procedure B as described for **29**. Yield: 33%. $R_f = 0.31$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 186 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.62 (s, 4H), 3.05 (s, 6H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 5.72–5.82 (m, 2H), 6.53 (t, J = 5.2, 1H), 6.91 (dd, J = 6.8, 2.5, 1H), 7.10–7.13 (m, 2H), 7.20 (t, J = 4.7, 1H), 7.88 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 8.47 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 8.78 (d, J 4.7, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 42.23, 51.85, 53.82, 60.78, 118.71, 119.70, 124.64, 127.30, 127.50, 128.35, 129.07, 129.71, 133.94, 136.14, 140.32, 151.05, 157.14, 163.48, 166.66. Anal. (C₂₅H₂₅Cl₂N₅O·2HCl·2H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{**4**-[**4**-(**2**,**3**-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-**2**-enyl}-**4**-(1*H*-imidazol-2-yl)-benzoic acid²² and **6** according to procedure B. Yield: 32%. $R_f = 0.32$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (oxalate, ethanol) 176 °C. IR (film): v 3203, 1634. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.66 (m, 4H), 3.04-3.10 (m, 6H), 4.01 (d, J 4.3, 2H), 5.72-5.83 (m, 2H), 7.04 (dd, J 6.8, 2.5, 1H), 7.15-7.21 (m, 4H), 7.87-7.94 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 42.60, 52.26, 54.34, 61.32, 119.84, 125.46, 125.87, 127.95, 128.66, 131.75, 133.87, 134.43, 134.86, 146.39, 151.91, 168.49. Anal. (C₂₄H₂₅Cl₂N₅O·2(COOH)₂).

Quinoxaline-2-carboxylic acid-{**4-**[**4-**(**2**,**3-**dichlorophen-yl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-amide (34). Prepared from quinoxaline-2-carboxylic acid and **6** according to procedure B. Yield: 67%. $R_f = 0.44$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp. (oxalate, ethanol) 148 °C. IR (film): ν 3397, 1671. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.06–3.12 (m, 4H), 3.91 (s, 6H), 4.21 (m, 2H), 5.84–5.87 (m, 2H), 6.94 (m, 1H), 7.08–7.14 (m, 2H), 7.79–7.89 (m, 2H), 8.09 (m, 1H), 8.14–8.20 (m, 2H), 9.68 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 40.78, 51.02, 53.04, 60.02, 118.43, 124.37, 127.24, 127.30, 128.84, 129.26, 129.30, 129.41, 130.68, 131.42, 133.76, 140.01, 143.15, 143.67, 150.97, 162.92. Anal. (C₂₃H₂₃-Cl₂N₅O·(COOH)₂·0.25H₂O) C, H, N.

Benzo[b]thiophene-2-carboxylic acid-{4-[4-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-amide (35) was prepared from benzo[b]thiophene-2-carboxylic acid and **6** according to procedure A. Yield: 92%. $R_f = 0.62$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (oxalate, ethanol) 78 °C. IR (film): ν 3304, 1628. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.65 (s, 4H), 3.05–3.07 (m, 6H), 4.10 (m, 2H), 5.73–5.79 (m, 2H), 6.74 (s, br., 1H), 6.90 (m, 1H), 7.08–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.51–8.12 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 41.52, 50.96, 53.02, 60.00, 118.57, 122.63, 124.58, 124.84, 124.98, 125.25, 126.27, 127.42, 127.47, 128.60, 129.87, 133.91, 138.35, 139.03, 140.77, 150.99, 162.19. Anal. (C₂₃H₂₃Cl₂N₃OS·(COOH)₂) C, H, N.

2-Benzofuran-2-carboxylic acid {**4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophen-yl)-piperazin-1-yl]**-*trans*-but-2-enyl}-amide (**36**) was prepared from 2-benzofuran-2-carboxylic acid and **6** according to procedure B. Yield: 70%. $R_f = 0.65$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH 10:1). Mp (hydrochloride, methanol/diethyl ether) 242–244 °C. IR (film): ν 3314, 1648. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.64 (s, 4H), 3.07–3.90 (m, 6H), 4.13 (m, 2H), 5.71–5.82 (m, 2H), 6.83 (t, J = 5.4, 1H), 6.85 (m, 1H), 7.09–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.28 (m, 1H), 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.65 (d, J = 7.7, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 40.65, 51.18, 53.18, 60.14, 110.46, 111.65, 118.55, 122.68, 123.66, 124.51, 126.85, 127.41, 127.51, 129.10, 129.26, 133.92, 148.54, 151.13, 154.63, 158.60. Anal. (C₂₃H₂₃Cl₂N₃O₂· HCl·H₂O) C, H, N.

N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-butyl}-4pyridine-3-yl-benzamide (42) was prepared from 4-pyridine-3-yl-benzoic acid hydrochloride²¹ and 4-[4-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]-butylamine^{15,17} employing an alteration of procedure B as described for **29**. Yield: 33%. R_f = 0.44 (CHCl₃/ CH₃OH 10:1, 1% NH₄OH). Mp (hydrochloride, chloroform/ diethyl ether) 189 °C. IR (film): ν 3299, 1640. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.64-1.74 (m, 4H), 2.61 (s, 4H), 3.00 (s, 4H), 3.51 (q, J = 6.1, 2H), 6.86 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.0, 1H), 7.05-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 5.3, 1H), 7.36 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.8, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.3, 1H), 7.85 (m, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.3, 1H), 8.60 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5, 1H), 8.81 (d, J = 2.2, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 24.43, 27.48, 40.07, 51.09, 53.22, 58.02, 118.47, 123.66, 124.51, 127.07, 127.34, 127.42, 127.86, 133.90, 134.36, 134.55, 135.47, 140.45, 148.12, 149.00, 151.07, 167.21. Anal. (C₂₆H₂₈Cl₂N₄O· 3HCl·0.33CHCl₃) C, H, N.

Dopamine Receptor Binding Assays. A filtration binding assay was used to characterize the binding properties of membrane-associated receptors. For human D2L, D3, and D4 dopamine receptors expressed in HEK 293 cells, tissue homogenates (50 mL) were suspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl/150 mM NaCl/10 mM EDTA buffer pH 7.5 and incubated with 50 μ L of ¹²⁵I-IABN at 37 °C for 60 min. Nonspecific binding was determined using 25 μ M (+)-butaclamol. For competition experiments, the radioligand concentration was generally equal to 0.5 times the K_d value, and the concentration of the competitive inhibitor ranged over 5 orders of magnitude. Binding was terminated by the addition of cold wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl/150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) and filtration over glass-fiber filters (Schleicher and Schuell No. 32). Filters were washed with 10 mL of cold buffer, and the radioactivity was measured using a Packard Cobra gamma counter. Estimates of the equilibrium dissociation constant and maximum number of binding sites were obtained using unweighted nonlinear regression analysis of data modeled according to the equation describing mass action binding. Data from competitive inhibition experiments were modeled using nonlinear regression analysis to determine the concentration of inhibitor that displaced 50% of the specific binding of the radioligand. Competition curves were modeled for a single site, and the IC₅₀ values were converted to equilibrium dissociation constants (K_i values) using the Cheng-Prusoff²³ correction. Mean K_i values SEM are reported for at least three independent experiments.

Acknowledgment. The research reported herein was supported by funds from the NIDA Intramural Research Program and 1 DA 13584. P.G. was supported by a National Institutes of Health (NIH) Visiting Fellowship. E.E.C. and C.J.B. were supported by NIH summer IRTA fellowships.

Supporting Information Available: Elemental Analyses. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Sokoloff, P.; Giros, B.; Martres, M. P.; Bouthenet, M. L.; Schwartz, J. C. Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel dopamine Receptor (D_3) as a target for neuroleptics. Nature **1990**, 347, 146–151.
- Schwartz, J. C.; Levesque, D.; Martres, M. P.; Sokoloff, P. Dopamine-D(3) receptor—Basic and clinical aspects. *Clin.* Neuropharmacol. 1993, 16, 295-314.
- (3) Levant, B. The D₃ dopamine receptor: Neurobiology and poten-
- tial clinical relevance. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **1997**, *49*, 231–252. Pilla, M.; Perachon, S.; Sautel, F.; Garrido, F.; Mann, A.; Wermuth, C. G.; Schwartz, J. C.; Everitt, B. J.; Sokoloff, P. (4)Selective inhibition of cocaine-seeking behaviour by a partial dopamine D-3 receptor agonist. Nature 1999, 400, 371–375.
 (5) Beardsley, P. M.; Sokoloff, P.; Balster, R. L.; Schwartz, J. C. The
- D3R partial agonist, BP 897, attenuates the discriminative stimulus effects of cocaine and D-amphetamine and is not self-
- J. J.; Middlemiss, D. N.; Reavill, C.; Riley, G. J.; Routledge, C.; Wood, M. Design and synthesis of *trans-N*-[4-[2-(6-cyano-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinolin-2-yl)ethyl]cyclohexyl]-4-quinolinecarboxamide (SB-277011): A potent and selective dopamine D_3 receptor antagonist with high oral bioavailability and CNS penetration in the rat. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 1878-1885.

- (7) Vorel, S. R.; Ashby, C. R., Jr.; Paul, M.; Liu, X.; Hayes, R.; Hagan, J. J.; Middlemiss, D. N.; Stemp, G.; Gardner, E. L. Dopamine Department of the protocol of the pr D₃ receptor antagonism inhibits cocaine-seeking and cocaineenhanced brain reward in Rats. J. Neurosci. 2002, 22, 9595-9603
- (8) Di Ciano, P.; Underwood, R. J.; Hagan, J. J.; Everitt, B. J. Attenuation of cue-controlled cocaine-seeking by a selective D₃ dopamine receptor antagonist SB-277011-A. Neuropsychopharmacology 2003, 28, 329-338.
- (9) Luedtke, R. R.; Mach, R. H. Progress in developing D3 dopamine receptor ligands as potential therapeutic agents for neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders. Curr. Pharm. Design 2003, 9, 643 - 671
- (10) Macdonald, G. J.; Branch, C. L.; Hadley, M. S.; Johnson, C. N.; Nash, D. J.; Smith, A. B.; Stemp, G.; Thewlis, K. M.; Vong, A. K. K.; Austin, N. E.; Jeffrey, P.; Winborn, K. Y.; Boyfield, I.; Hagan, J. J.; Middlemiss, D. N.; Reavill, C.; Riley, G. J.; Watson, J. M.; Wood, M.; Parker, S. G.; Ashby, C. R. Design and synthesis of trans-3-(2-(4-((3-(3-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazolyl))phenyl)carboxamido)cyclohexyl)ethyl)-7-methylsulfonyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-3-benzazepine (SB-414796): A potent and selective dopamine
- D-3 receptor antagonist. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 4952-4964.
 (11) Leopoldo, M.; Berardi, F.; Colabufo, N. A.; De Giorgio, P.; Lacivita, E.; Perrone, R.; Tortorella, V. Structure-affinity relationship study on N-4-(4-arylpiperazin-1-yl)butyl arylcarboxamides as potent and selective dopamine D-3 receptor Ligands. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 5727-5735.
- (12) Bettinetti, L.; Schlotter, K.; Hubner, H.; Gmeiner, P. Interactive SAR studies: Rational discovery of super-potent and highly selective dopamine D3 receptor antagonists and partial agonists. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 4594-4597.
- (13) Hackling, A. E.; Stark, H. Dopamine D-3 receptor ligands with antagonist properties. *Chembiochem* **2002**, *3*, 946–961.
- Hackling, A.; Ghosh, R.; Perachon, S.; Mann, A.; Holtje, H. D.; Wermuth, C. G.; Schwartz, J. C.; Sippl, W.; Sokoloff, P.; Stark, (14)H. N-(w-(4-(2-Methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl)alkyl)carboxamides as dopamine D2 and D3 receptor ligands. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46.3883 - 3899.
- (15) Newman, A. H.; Cao, J. J.; Bennett, C. J.; Robarge, M. J.; Freeman, R. A.; Luedtke, R. R. N-{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]butyl, butenyl and butynyl}arylcarboxamides as novel dopamine D₃ receptor antagonists. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2003, 13, 2179–2183.
- (16) Yuan, J.; Chen, X.; Brodbeck, R.; Primus, R.; Braun, J.; Wasley, J. W. F.; Thurkauf, A. NGB 2904 and NGB 2849: Two highly selective dopamine D₃ receptor antagonists. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **1998**, 8, 2715–2718.
- (17) Robarge, M. J.; Husbands, S. M.; Kieltyka, A.; Brodbeck, R.; Thurkauf, A.; Newman, A. H. Design and synthesis of (2,3-dichlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl alkylfluorenylcarboxamides as novel ligands selective for the dopamine D-3 receptor subtype. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 3175-3186.
- (18) Luedtke, R. R.; Freeman, R. A.; Boundy, V. A.; Martin, M. V.; Huang, Y. S.; Mach, R. H. Characterization of ¹²⁵I-IABN, a novel azabicyclononane benzamide selective for D2-like dopamine receptors. *Synapse* **2000**, *38*, 438–449. (19) Van der Graaf, P. H.; Wayman, C. P.; Baxter, A. D.; Cook, A. S.;
- Wong, S. K.-F. WO Patent 03051370, 2003; Chem. Abstr. 2003, 139 63348
- (20)Connell, R. D.; Helquist, P.; Aakermark, B. New methodology for the synthesis of protected, primary pentadienylamines. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3359-3370.
- (21) Gong, Y.; Pauls, H. W. A convenient synthesis of heteroaryl benzoic acids via Suzuki reaction. Synlett 2000, 829-831
- (22) Hagedorn, A. A.; Erhardt, P. W.; Lumma, W. C.; Wohl, R. A.; Cantor, E.; Chou, Y. L.; Ingebretsen, W. R.; Lampe, J. W.; Pang, D.; Pease, C. A.; Wiggins, J. Cardiotonic agents. 2. (Imidazolyl)aroylimidazolones, highly potent and selective positive inotropic agents. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 1342–1347.
- (23) Cheng, Y. C.; Prusoff, W. H. Relationship between the inhibition constant (K_i) and the concentration of the inhibitor that causes 50% inhibition (IC₅₀) of an enzymatic reaction. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1973, 22, 3099-3108.

JM049465G